

233 South Wacker Drive Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois 60606

312 454 0400 www.cmap.illinois.gov

Land Use Committee

Minutes

Wednesday, April 18, 2018 9:00 a.m.

DuPage County Conference Room 233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 800 Chicago, Illinois

Members Present: Michael Horsting (for Heather Mullins, Co-Chair), Mark VanKerkhoff

(Co-Chair), Kristen Andersen (for Allison Buchwach), Thomas Chefalo (for Eric Waggoner), Colin Duesing, Paul Hoss, Heather Smith, Andrew

Szwak, Nancy Williamson.

Members Absent: Matthew Asselmeier, Drew Awsumb, Dionne Baux, Judy Beck, Susan

Campbell, Kristi DeLaurentiis, Lisa DiChiera, Khurshid Hoda, Johanna Leonard, Arnold Randall, Paul Rickelman, Dennis Sandquist, Ruth

Wuorenma, Angela Zubko.

Staff Present: Stephen Ostrander (committee liaison), Jesse Elam, Jane Grover,

Elizabeth Irvin, Aseal Tineh.

Others Present:

1.0 Call to Order

Michael Horsting called the meeting to order at 9:08 a.m.

2.0 Agenda Changes and Announcements

There were no agenda changes.

3.0 Approval of Land Use Committee meeting minutes of March 21, 2018

A motion to approve the Land Use Committee meeting minutes of March 21, 2018, was made by Paul Hoss and seconded by Heather Smith; all in favor, the motion carried.

4.0 ON TO 2050: Public Engagement Plan – Jane Grover, CMAP

Jane provided an update on initiatives to engage the general public and the region's corporate sector in ON TO 2050.

A committee member commented that he understood that the new plan is going to be interactive, and that there are some topics key to the GO TO 2040 plan that will not be as much of a focus in ON TO 2050. He hoped that

the web-based ON TO 2050 plan will make connections to these topics (for example, "Local Foods").

5.0 ON TO 2050: Draft Mobility Chapter – Elizabeth Irvin and Jesse Elam, CMAP Staff have also developed the draft ON TO 2050 Mobility chapter. Elizabeth and Jesse reviewed the chapter recommendations, underlying strategies, and selected action steps to achieve those recommendations an initial draft of the major recommendations of the ON TO 2050 chapter addressing mobility.

A committee member asked about where the revenue collected from recommended tolling would go. Jesse explained that the allocation of recommended user fees would be confined to the specific cost of the expressways themselves. The committee member later commented that doing this could free up other funding for other issues and needs.

Another committee member asked how the revenue from the recommended freight service fee would be distributed down to local communities. Jesse responded that this would need to be worked out at the federal level.

A committee member noted that she didn't see recommendations that would support mechanisms to reduce auto demand (especially people driving by themselves). She also commented that the language seems reactive (as opposed to proactive). She didn't see language focusing on building with co-benefits of, for example, natural stormwater infrastructure; she recommended looking at the NACTO-published guidance on green infrastructure and complete streets. Last, she suggested adding language looking at funding match opportunities.

Another committee member noted that Olatunji Oboi Reed of Equicity has looked at how over-policing and equity issues can be intertwined with efforts to improve safety. Elizabeth responded that CMAP has been conferring with Reed on this and other issues in the development of ON TO 2050.

Thomas Chefalo of Lake County reiterated a previously-stated request to replace discussion of "municipalities" with "local government," in order to include other forms of local government relevant to the recommendations. He then commented that discussion about how the affordability of electric vehicles in the future might lead to more car ownership, especially among lower income residents of the region—which might, in turn, lead to less transit ridership. He added that discussion of paratransit "needs to be punched up"; Thomas noted that Lake County has done studies of how to improve paratransit, for example, by focusing on smaller providers and establishing better coordination between all providers.

Another member voiced concerned about the recommendations regarding VMT, because some other areas of the country that have already done this have, in effect, penalized hybrid vehicles, which are lighter and hence cause less wear and tear on roads. The committee member therefore recommended that VMT recommendations use the word "supplement" rather than "replace." Jesse

responded that penalizing hybrid vehicles, etc., certainly was not the intention, and CMAP wants to work with its partners in the region to get the details right.

A member voiced concerns about ON TO 2050 focusing less (than GO TO 2040) on providing new capacity. He added that he was interested in the question of how the region can focus on jobs near existing transit—especially how does the region complete that "last mile"; he thought that working with private sector employers offered promise.

Another member asked whether CMAP had data that might provide insight into whether Uber and Lyft are encouraging more car owners to keep their cars (because they choose to become Uber or Lyft drivers) or abandon their cars (because they can use Uber or Lyft to get around instead). Elizabeth responded that CMAP does not have good data available to answer this question.

A member encouraged CMAP to find ways to connect staff developing ON TO 2050 with LTA projects.

6.0 Other Business

Colin Duesing asked members for any guidance relevant to the issue of regulations for setbacks for beekeeping flyway barriers. (A few committee members said they could help.)

Nancy Williamson noted that she thought it would be useful for ON TO 2050 staff to discuss revisions that have been made to the draft Land Use chapter of ON TO 2050. (This has been scheduled for the committee meeting on May 16.)

Heather Smith from the Field Foundation announced that she is involved in a funders group looking at outreach related to the 2020 Census, and she thought some committee members would be interested in future activities.

7.0 Public Comment

There was no public comment.

8.0 Next Meeting

The committee was scheduled to meet next on May 16, 2018.

9.0 Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:45 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Stephen Ostrander, LUC Committee Liaison May 9, 2018

3 | Page